

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/244,190	02/04/1999	KUNIAKI KOGA	12407	3452
23389 7590 04/08/2004 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			EXAMINER	
			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
	ARDEN CITY PLAZA DEN CITY, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2635	<u>عر </u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	$_{4}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/244,190	KOGA, KUNIAKI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian A Zimmerman	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s)·	•				
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2635				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because the amendment filed 3/22/04 places the claims in essentially the same condition as they stood prior to the amenement filed 3/13/03, the art rejection of 7/9/03 is now applicable. By elliminating the simultaneous limitation, the reference Amma is not necessary and the perfected priority cannot overcome the other references.